IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 25076 of 2000

Between:

D.Srinivasulu S/o. D.Seethaiah
R/o. H.No.5-91, Fathenagar, Ranga Reddy District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government of Andhra Pradesh Rep by its Secretary Department of

Archeology, Secretariat Building, Hyderabad.
2 The Secratary, Salarjung Museum Board, Salarjung Museum,
Hyderabad.

....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue Writ, Order or direction more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in not conducting interviews for the posts of LDC & UDC and filling the said posts without considering the claim of the petitioner as illegal, void, and consequently to direct the 2nd respondent to conduct interview for the post of LDC & UDC and fill up the said vacancies from among the selected candidates.

Counsel for the Petitioner: PARTY-IN-PERSON

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: GP FOR AGRICULTURE

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: MR.R.S.MURTHY

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a *mandamus* declaring the action of the second respondent in not conducting the interviews for the posts of LDC & UDC and filling the said posts without considering the claim of the petitioner as arbitrary and illegal and consequently to direct the second respondent to conduct interviews for the posts of LDC & UDC and fill up the said vacancies from among the selected candidates.

2. A detailed affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondents stating that in view of the interim directions passed by this Court on 16-03-2001 in W.P.M.P.No.31982 of 2000 in W.P.No.25076 of 2000, call letters were issued and interviews were conducted and a short list of candidates, those who satisfied the eligibility criteria, was prepared. Further, in the letters sent on for the purpose of interview, it was categorically indicated that the selections are subject to out come of the final decision in this writ petition.

3. The learned counsel for the respondents orally submitted that petitioner's case was also considered, but he could not figured in the short listed candidates.

4. In view of the above, nothing remains in the writ petition to be adjudicated. The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed. No orders as to costs.

_____

C.V.RAMULU, J.

Dated 06-07-2005.

SKMR

..... REGISTRAR

To

1 The Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Department of

Archeology, Secretariat Building, Hyderabad.
2 The Secratary, Salarjung Museum Board, Salarjung Museum,
Hyderabad.

3 2 CCs to G.P. for Agriculture, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad, (OUT).

2 CD copies

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**JPK**}*